

## LOA Bylaws Vote

**Total Ballots Cast: 276**

**Yes Votes: 252**

(6 with comments)

**No Votes: 24**

(24 with comments)

**Amendments to By-Laws passed with 91.3% of ballots voting for approval.**

(842 ballots mailed; 32.8% of eligible voters responded)

**Comments submitted with ballots are as follows:**

Comments submitted with YES votes (6): (NOTE: Three address corrections completed)

1. "Also need to define (or refer to a definition of) logistics."
2. "Article 1; Section 3; Last Line: Should the applicable FIT reference be section 501 (c) (6) or 501 (c) (3)?"
3. Question:  
"The new by-laws do not discuss editor of ER and how that position is filled. Is that important? It was a part of the MOA by-laws."

Comments submitted with NO votes (24):

1. Article I. "I feel that trying to focus on the logistics environment as a whole is much too broad. I would rather limit the focus to a maintenance environment only. Focusing on maintenance would allow for much improved interchange than trying to cover everything under the logistics umbrella."
2. Article I, Section 1. "The broader the base of any organization, the less salient and appealing it becomes. (I remain uncertain what a Logistics Office is and don't seem to exist in industry.)"  
*Noted.*
3. All. "Dispense with Logistics and go back to Maintenance. Keep old MOA Bylaws."  
*Vote was taken and the change was made.*

4. Article II, Section 1b) and 1d). “ Just because you command/direct - why be member?  
Purpose AF - why civilian org?

Article IV, Section 1. “Too much authority to Executive Board”

Article VII, Section 2 “Why a waiver for chapters?”

***Discuss at Executive board.***

5. Article II, Section 1. “ I joined the MOA at the Pentagon in 1985 - It was for and by maintenance officers only. Logistics officers can join SOLE. What next? 702's or Med Corps?”

***Vote was taken and the change was made.***

6. “Organizational name..”

***Vote was taken and the change was made.***

7. Article II, Section 1c. “I do not agree with ‘other Military Services under other countries Department of Defense’. I would agree to allowing these individuals to be included as Honorary Members under Section 2b.”

***Discuss at Executive Board meeting.***

8. Article I, Section 1. “Disagree with organization name change.”

***Vote was taken and the change was made.***

9. “Think we should remain maintenance officer organization and as other logistics officers cycle through maintenance during career, they would be eligible to join.”

***Vote was taken and the change was made.***

10. Article I, Section 1. “The name should be Maintenance Officers Association. The vote to change the name was invalid--no quorum.

***Member did not understand the rules. Requires 2/3 of those voting, not of total membership; vote to change was legal. No impact.***

11. Article I, Section 2. “Purpose should be to promote ...quality aircraft maintenance, transportation and supply services...”

***Comments would expand the scope of logistics disciplines in the purpose statement. Might be appropriate in light of expanding from MOA to LOA.***

12. LOA “We didn't get a membership majority to vote to change to LOA (even though we kept taking votes in attempt to change to LOA).”

***Member did not understand the rules. Requires 2/3 of those voting, not of total membership; vote to change was legal. No impact.***

13. Article II, Section 4.b. (second sentence). "Member cannot grant him/herself an extension. Should read as such or similar: Extension will be granted by the Executive Board based upon written request by the member setting forth cause."

***Concur with recommendation to re-word. It is confusing as written.***

14. Article V, Section 1a and b; Section 2c. "There are five references to the ER, a term not defined elsewhere. There is no mention of how the editor of the newsletter is determined or what that newsletter is called. A flawed effort a best. Great start for logistics officers."

***Appears to be a valid point.***

15. Articles IV and V. "Article V dropped out app't of exceptional release editor, then in Article V, talk about ER, but no one knows what it is?"

***Appears to be a valid point.***

16. Article VII, Section 2. "Lower minimum required number to ease chapter start up."

***This was discussed by executive board, and agreed upon. Disagreement only voiced by one member.***

17. Article III and IV. "Art III sec. 1 states Executive Board .....& appointed officers. But, Art IV dropped formal identification of Editor as appointed position. Need to address this position one way or another.

***Appears to be a valid point.***

18. Article I, Section 1. "Change of the name from MOA to LOA."

Article IV (former MOA bylaws). "Deletion of Advisory Council with its representatives as listed.

***Deletion was intentional.***

19. Membership. "I believe you should hold a logistics specialty in order to be a member. Commanders of loggies should not be eligible unless they also hold a logistics specialty."

***Personal opinion of member.***

20. All applicable to LOA! "I believe in MOA not LOA! You have lost the single issue that gave maintenance officers a reason for organizing and voicing their problems."

***Personal opinion of member, vote to change was legal. No impact.***

21. "MOA not LOA; I understand we are going back to the future!"

***Personal opinion of member. No impact.***

22. Article X and Article I, Section 1. “Two thirds of the membership did not participate in the vote to amend the by laws in changing our name from MOA to LOA (Article I, Section 1). This is in direct violation of Article X. It think this should be discussed.”

***Member did not understand the rules. Requires 2/3 of those voting, not of total membership; vote to change was legal. No impact.***

23. All of them; LOA is not a legal change. “Article IX states that 2/3 approval is needed to make changes. The vote to change from MOA to LAO was less than 66%--therefore, we are still MOA!”

***Member did not understand the rules. Requires 2/3 of those voting, not of total membership; vote to change was legal. No impact.***

24. I am an aircraft maintenance officer not a logistics officer. I am hereby resigning from LOA, as it no longer represents aircraft maintenance professionals.”

***Member did not identify who he was.***